Interface Dictatorship (Governance)

Mayo Fuster Morell

Hangar, 27 May 2014

Limits of focusing on only **one** aspect that determine the governance of processes

Good to depart from a comprehensive and holistic view of what means the governance in collective action online. **Governance** (Ostrom) = System of aspects that give direction, control and distribute the power in a process.

Which set of dimensions determine the governance of collective processes based on digital interaction?

The **eight dimensions** that give direction, control, and coordination to processes in online spaces of interaction are:

(1) Collective mission or goal of the process.

(2) Cultural principles and social norms.

(3) Design of the platform of participation (where regulation is embedded in the code) >>> **Interface governance**

(4) Self-management of contributions: autonomous condition of participants in allocating their contribution to the building process.

(5) Formal rules or policies applied to community interaction.

(6) License.

(7) Decision-making and conflict resolution systems with regard to community interaction.

(8) Infrastructure provision.

Governance is very much shaped on the basis of how and who decides and manages these dimensions.

Each of the dimensions might be managed in a more open to participation or inclusive way or not: involvement of individuals and/or community as a whole versus infrastructure provider.

Each dimension managed in a more **decentralized/fragmented or more centralized and established.**

More formally define or more ad hoc

The analysis of the juxtaposition or interaction between the eight dimensions reveals that **infrastructure provision** (means of production) **is central**.

Infrastructure provision determines:

(3) Design of the platform of participation (where regulation is embedded in the code) >>> Interface governance
(5) Formal rules or policies applied to community interaction.
(6) License.

Infrastructure provision might determine:

(1) Collective mission or goal of the process.(7) Decision-making and conflict resolution systems with regard to community interaction.

But does not control:

(2) Cultural principles and social norms.

(4) Self-management of contributions: autonomous condition of participants in allocating their contribution to the building process.

More @

Fuster Morell, M. (2014). Governance of online creation communities for the building of digital commons: Viewed through the framework of the institutional analysis and development. Madison, M. J., Strandburg, K., & Frischmann, B. *Convening Cultural Commons*. Oxford University Press.

Available at onlinecreation.info